# **SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2**

# FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022



# SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 TABLE OF CONTENTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION	4
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	5
FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
BALANCE SHEET	6
RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION	7
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – GOVERNMENTAL FUND	8
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	9
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	10
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL FUND	20



#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

Board of Directors Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2 Erie, Colorado

# Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements *Opinions*

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund of Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and the major fund of Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2 as of December 31, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2 and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

# Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditors' report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due
  to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such
  procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures
  in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control related matters that we identified during the audit.

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the budgetary comparison information be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements.

Board of Directors Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2

We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Management omitted the management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinions on the basic financial statements are not affected by this missing information.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Broomfield, Colorado April 23, 2023

# SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF NET POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2022

400570	Go	Governmental Activities	
ASSETS	Ф	40,400	
Investments	\$	49,428	
Due from County Treasurer		5,707	
Prepaid Items		2,253	
Property Taxes Receivable		6,095,003	
Capital Loans Receivable from North Station No. 2		180,137	
Construction in Progress		1,141,993	
Total Assets		7,474,521	
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES			
Property Tax Revenue		6,095,003	
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	_	6,095,003	
NET POSITION			
Restricted for TABOR		43,234	
Unrestricted		1,336,284	
Total Not Decition	· Φ	1 270 510	
Total Net Position		1,379,518	

# SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022

Functions/Programs	Expenses	Program Revenue	Net Revenue (Expense) and Changes in Net Position Governmental Activities
Governmental Activities: General Government Interest and Related Costs on Long-Term Debt	\$ 70,227 266,508 \$ 336,735	\$ - - \$ -	\$ (70,227) (266,508) (336,735)
	General Revenues Property Taxes Specific Ownershi Transfers from Dis Investment Income	p Taxes strict No. 3 e	1,354,280 80,204 9,281 6,648 1,450,413
	Change in Net Pos	ition	1,113,678
	Net Position - Begin	ning of Year	265,840
	Net Position - End	of Year	\$ 1,379,518

# SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2022

		General Fund
ASSETS		_
Investments Due from County Treasurer Property Taxes Receivable Prepaid Items Capital Loans Receivable from North Station No. 2	\$	49,428 5,707 6,095,003 2,253 180,137
Total Assets	\$	6,332,528
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE		
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES Property Tax Revenue Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	_\$_	6,095,003 6,095,003
FUND BALANCE  Nonspendable Restricted for TABOR Unassigned Total Fund Balance		2,253 43,234 192,038 237,525
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balance	\$	6,332,528

# SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2022

Total Fund Balance - Total Governmental Fund	\$ 237,525	
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the fund balance sheet.  Construction in Progress	 1,141,993	
Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ 1.379.518	

# SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – GOVERNMENTAL FUND YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022

	 General Fund
REVENUES	
Property Taxes	\$ 1,354,280
Specific Ownership Taxes	80,204
Intergovernmental - Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 1	9,281
Investment Income	6,648
Total Revenues	1,450,413
EXPENDITURES	
Current:	
Intergovernmental - Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 1	37,500
County Treasurer's Fees	20,314
Audit	1,811
Accounting	4,539
Insurance	2,156
Miscellaneous	3,907
Debt Service:	
Interest	225,053
Loan Fees	41,455
Capital Outlay	 1,066,993
Total Expenditures	1,403,728
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	46,685
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year	 190,840
FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR	\$ 237,525

# SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022

Net Change in Fund Balance - Total Governmental Fund	\$ 46,685
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Governmental fund reports capital outlays as expenditures. However, for government activities, those capital outlays other than noncapitalizable items are shown in the statement of net position at cost.	
Capital Outlay	 1,066,993
Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ 1,113,678

#### NOTE 1 DEFINITION OF REPORTING ENTITY

Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2 (the District), a quasi-municipal corporation and political subdivision of the state of Colorado, was organized by order and decree of the District Court, and is governed pursuant to provisions of the Colorado Special District Act (Title 32, Article 1, Colorado Revised Statutes). The District operates under a Consolidated Service Plan with Summerfield Metropolitan Districts No. 1 (District No. 1), Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 2 (the District), and Summerfield Metropolitan District No. 3 (District No. 3) approved by the Town of Erie on January 4, 2013, and amended on May 22, 2017. This first Amendment to the Consolidated Service Plan ("First Amendment") for Summerfield Metropolitan District Nos. 1, 2 and 3 is submitted by the Districts in accordance with the requirements of Section 32-1-207(2) of Title 32, Colorado Revised Statutes and Title 9, Chapter 4 of the Erie Municipal Code.

The operation and maintenance of all other services and facilities is anticipated to be provided by the Town of Erie and not by the District.

The District has no employees and all services are contracted.

The District follows the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) accounting pronouncements which provide guidance for determining which governmental activities, organizations, and functions should be included within the financial reporting entity. GASB pronouncements set forth the financial accountability of a governmental organization's elected governing body as the basic criterion for including a possible component governmental organization in a primary government's legal entity. Financial accountability includes, but is not limited to, appointment of a voting majority of the organization's governing body, ability to impose its will on the organization, a potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits or burdens and fiscal dependency.

The District is not financially accountable for any other organization, nor is the District a component unit of any other primary governmental entity, including District No. 1, District No. 3, and the Town of Erie.

#### NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The more significant accounting policies of the District are described as follows:

#### **Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements include the statement of net position and the statement of activities. These financial statements include all of the activities of the District. Governmental activities are normally supported by taxes.

The statement of net position reports all financial resources of the District. The difference between the assets, liabilities, and deferred outflows and inflows of resources of the District is reported as net position.

#### NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements (Continued)**

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct and indirect expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

#### Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted as they are needed.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. The major sources of revenue susceptible to accrual are property taxes. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures are recorded only when payment is due.

The District reports the following major governmental fund:

The General Fund is the District's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government.

#### **Budgets**

In accordance with the State Budget Law, the District's Board of Directors holds public hearings in the fall each year to approve the budget and appropriate the funds for the ensuing year. The appropriation is at the total fund expenditures level and lapses at year end. The District's Board of Directors can modify the budget by line item within the total appropriation without notification. The appropriation can only be modified upon completion of notification and publication requirements. The budget includes each fund on its basis of accounting unless otherwise indicated. The adopted budgets for the General Fund and Debt Service fund have been consolidated and reflected as the General Fund Budget for financial reporting purposes.

#### NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Property Taxes**

Property taxes are levied by the District's Board of Directors. The levy is based on assessed valuations determined by the County Assessor generally as of January 1 of each year. The levy is normally set by December 15 by certification to the County Commissioners to put the tax lien on the individual properties as of January 1 of the following year. The County Treasurer collects the determined taxes during the ensuing calendar year.

The taxes are payable by April or, if in equal installments, at the taxpayer's election in February and June. Delinquent taxpayers are notified in August and generally sales of the tax liens on delinquent properties are held in November or December. The County Treasurer remits the taxes collected monthly to the District.

Property taxes are recorded initially as deferred inflows of resources in the year they are levied and measurable. The property tax revenues are recorded as revenue in the year they are available and collected.

## **Fund Balance and Net Position**

Net position is reported in the governmental activities and is classified as restricted or unrestricted. Restrictions of net position represent amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted. As of December 31, 2022, fund balances of governmental funds are classified as follows:

<u>Nonspendable</u> – amounts that cannot be spent either because they are not spendable in form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. This includes amounts that are not expected to be converted to cash, for example, prepaid amounts.

<u>Restricted</u> – amounts that are subject to externally enforceable legal purpose restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments; or through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

<u>Committed</u> – amounts that are subject to a purpose constraint imposed by a formal action of the Board of Directors. The Board is the highest level of decision-making authority for the District. Commitments may be established, modified or rescinded only through resolutions approved by the Board.

<u>Assigned</u> – amounts that are subject to a purpose constraint that represents an intended use established by the District in its budget process. The purpose of the assignment must be narrower than the purpose of the General Fund.

<u>Unassigned</u> – represents the residual classification for the District's General Fund and could report a surplus or deficit.

#### NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Restricted Fund Balance**

Emergency Reserves have been provided for as required by Article X, Section 20 of the Constitution of the state of Colorado. In compliance with this requirement, \$43,234 of the General Fund balance has been restricted.

The District's order of fund balance spending policy is to apply expenditures against restricted fund balance, committed fund balance, assigned fund balance, and unassigned fund balance. The District reserves the right to selectively spend unassigned fund balance.

#### NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS

Investments reflected on the statement of net position as of December 31, 2022 consist of the following:

Investments	\$ 49,428
Total Investments	\$ 49,428

#### Investments

The District has not adopted a formal investment policy; however, it follows state statutes regarding investments. The District generally limits its concentration of investments to those noted with an asterisk (\*) below, which are believed to have minimal credit risk, minimal interest rate risk and no foreign currency risk. Additionally, the District is not subject to concentration risk disclosure requirements or subject to investment custodial credit risk for investments that are in the possession of another party.

Colorado revised statutes limit investment maturities to five years or less depending on the specific investment held unless formally approved by the Board of Directors. Such actions are generally associated with a debt service reserve or sinking fund requirements. Revenue bonds of U.S. local government securities, corporate and bank securities and guaranteed investment contracts not purchased with bond proceeds are limited to maturities of three years or less.

# NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### **Investments (Continued)**

Colorado statutes specify investment instruments meeting defined rating and risk criteria in which local governments may invest which include:

- Obligations of the United States, certain U.S. government agency securities and World Bank securities
- General obligation and revenue bonds of U.S. local government entities
- · Bankers' acceptances of certain banks
- Commercial paper
- Written repurchase agreements collateralized by certain authorized securities
- Certain money market funds
- Guaranteed investment contracts
- Local government investment pools \*
- Certain reverse repurchase agreements
- Certain corporate bonds
- Certain securities lending agreements

As of December 31, 2022, the District had the following investments:

Investment	_ Maturity	Amortized Cost
Colorado Surplus Asset Fund Trust	Less than One Year	\$ 49,428
		\$ 49,428

#### **Colorado Surplus Asset Trust Fund**

As of December 31, 2022, the District had invested \$49,428 in the Colorado Surplus Asset Fund Trust, an investment vehicle established for local government entities in Colorado to pool surplus funds. The State Securities Commissioner administers and enforces all state statutes governing the Trust. The Trust operates similarly to a money market fund and each share is equal in value to \$1.00. The Trust is rated AAAmmf by Fitch Ratings and is valued at amortized cost. Based on the valuation method, additional disclosures are not required under GASB Statement No. 72.

# NOTE 4 RELATED PARTY

Three of the five members of the Board of Directors are employees and are associated with Bellock Construction Company, accountants for the District, and Community Development Group of Erie, Inc. (CDG of Erie, Inc.), the developer within the District. During 2022, District No. 1, District No. 3, and the District had the same board of directors.

#### **Accounting Services Agreement**

An accounting services contract was entered into with Bellock Construction Company on April 24, 2014. Under this amended agreement, accounting services are provided to the District at the annual hourly rates of Bellock Construction Company employees. During 2022, the District incurred accounting services fees in the amount of \$4,539.

# NOTE 5 DISTRICT FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AND SERVICE AGREEMENT (SERVICE CONTRACT)

In order to implement the Service Plan, the District entered into an intergovernmental agreement with District No. 1 and District No. 3. The agreement shall remain in full force and effect until such time as each of the terms and conditions has been performed in their entirety or until the agreement is terminated by mutual written agreement of the Districts.

District No. 1 is to construct the facilities benefitting the three Districts and transfer them to the Town of Erie or the homeowners association (HOA) as required. The District and District No. 3 will, to the extent that they benefit, pay the capital costs and the service costs of operation and maintenance of such facilities (authorized service costs).

The District is required to fund, on an annual basis, the amount of actual service costs that it would be capable of funding through property tax revenue plus other fee revenue as determined in the annual budget. If the Districts disagree as to the amount to be paid, then the District must pay District No. 1 the amount set forth in the annual budget.

The Gallagher Amendment, first added to the Colorado Constitution in 1982, required a residential to non-residential property tax ratio of 45% to 55% and required the state legislature to adjust the residential assessment rate to maintain the required ratio. During fiscal year 2017, the Colorado legislature reduced the residential assessment ratio from 7.96% to 7.20% causing the property tax mill rate for general obligation bonds and service costs to increase from 50 mills to 55.275 mills. The residential assessment ratio decreased to 7.15% in 2019 causing the mills to increase to 55.663 in 2020. During fiscal year 2020, the Gallagher Amendment was repealed. White the residential assessment rate is set in statute at 7.15%, the Colorado legislature approved a temporary reduction to 6.765% for the 2023 and 2024 tax years.

## NOTE 6 COST SHARING INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

On August 13, 2019, the District and North Station Metropolitan District No. 2 (previously Erie Corporate Metropolitan District No. 2), (NSMD No. 2) entered into an agreement in order to work together and coordinate their activities with respect to the financing of the cost of extinguishing certain surface rights associated with oil and gas sites and the acquisition of those sites for public recreational use and enjoyment to serve the development within their respective boundaries. NSMD No. 2 issued \$3,000,000 in bonds to fund the costs of the projects in the service plan, and the Districts recognized that the improvements being funded by the NSMD's issuance of bonds were deemed mutually beneficial to both Districts and their residents and taxpayers as a whole regardless of the physical location of any such improvements within the Districts.

#### NOTE 6 COST SHARING INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (CONTINUED)

In order to facilitate the issuance of the bonds, the Districts have, by the terms of a Pledge Agreement dated July 1, 2019 between the Districts, pledged certain revenues and covenanted to take certain actions with respect to generating such revenues, for the benefit of the holders and the bonds. The Districts are liable for the repayment of the bonds based upon the amount of revenues generated from the imposition of a mill levy by the Districts.

Within the cost sharing agreement, the Districts have determined the allocation of each District's proportionate share of the shared improvement costs to be 45.8% allocated to the District and 54.2% allocated to the NSMD No. 2. The Districts acknowledge that the intent of the agreement is for each District to pay their proportionate share of the costs under the agreement, but the Districts recognize that if one of the Districts does not have the necessary funds to pay its relevant proportionate share, the District that possesses the funds will pay the remaining balance to make the full scheduled bond debt service payment. Any additional contribution will be recorded and the accounts will be updated to indicate the credits and deficiencies of each District relative to the agreed allocation percentage.

During 2022, the District repaid NSMD No. 2 for its proportionate share of the total costs incurred under the cost sharing intergovernmental agreement. As the costs were repaid during the year, NSMD No. 2 transferred \$1,066,993 of capital assets to the District. This represents the amount that NSMD No. 2 spent on behalf of the District to extinguish certain surface rights associated with oil and gas sites and acquire those sites for public recreational use.

The funds transferred to NSMD No. 2 are reflected as expenditures for the District. NSMD No. 2 paid these expenses in prior years and were reimbursed by the District in 2022. For the year ended December 31, 2022, the total expenditures related to this agreement were \$1,336,018. This includes the District's proportionate share of bank fees and charges of \$104, interest of \$225,053, loan fees of \$41,455, custodial fees of \$458, legal fees of \$1,955, and \$1,066,993 for the surface rights associated with oil and gas sites. There also was \$184 interest income recorded in 2022 related to this agreement. The District paid more than its allocated share of the costs in order to repay all debt within NSMD No. 2 during 2022. NSMD No. 2 will reimburse the District for the overage that the District paid, a total of \$180,137, reflected as capital loans receivable from NSMD No. 2.

#### NOTE 7 CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS

An analysis of the changes in construction in progress for the year ended December 31, 2022 follows:

	Ba	llance at				Balance at
	Ja	nuary 1,				December 31,
		2022	Additions	Redu	ctions	2022
Construction in Progress	\$	75,000	\$ 1,066,993	\$		\$ 1,141,993
Total	\$	75,000	\$ 1,066,993	\$		\$ 1,141,993

#### NOTE 7 CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS (CONTINUED)

On December 20, 2019, the District entered into a Relinquishment of Surface Rights Agreement with K.P. Kauffman Company, Inc. regarding specific oil and gas sites operated by KPK. The total consideration paid to KPK by the District for KPK's relinquishment, extinguishment, and restriction of its Surface Rights is \$75,000. The \$75,000 paid to KPK for surface rights was recorded as an addition to Construction in Progress and then reclassified to land upon completion of the real property transfer to the District.

As outlined in Note 6, the District repaid NSMD No. 2 for its proportionate share of the costs. As such, the NSMD No. 2 transferred \$1,066,993 of C.I.P. to the District during 2022. The transfer of C.I.P. to the District is reflected as additions during 2022.

## NOTE 8 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

The following intergovernmental revenue and expendiutres occurred during fiscal year ended December 31, 2022:

	Met	nmerfield ropolitan rict No. 1	Me	nmerfield tropolitan trict No. 2	Me	nmerfield tropolitan trict No. 3	R	Total evenues
Summerfield Metropolitan:								
District No. 1	\$	-	\$	37,500	\$	13,000	\$	50,500
District No. 2		9,281		-		-		9,281
District No. 3		6,921						6,921
Total Expenditures	\$	16,202	\$	37,500	\$	13,000	\$	66,702

#### NOTE 9 RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; thefts of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors or omissions; injuries to employees; or acts of God.

The District is a member of the Colorado Special Districts Property and Liability Pool (the Pool) as of December 31, 2022. The Pool is an organization created by intergovernmental agreement to provide property, liability, public officials liability, boiler and machinery, and workers' compensation coverage to its members. Settled claims have not exceeded this coverage during the past three years.

The District pays annual premiums to the Pool for liability and public officials' liability coverage. In the event aggregated losses incurred by the Pool exceed amounts recoverable from reinsurance contracts and funds accumulated by the Pool, the Pool may require additional contributions from the Pool members. Any excess funds which the Pool determines are not needed for purposes of the Pool may be returned to the members pursuant to a distribution formula.

#### NOTE 10 TAX, SPENDING, AND DEBT LIMITATIONS

Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution, referred to as the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights (TABOR) contains tax, spending, revenue and debt limitations, which apply to the state of Colorado and all local governments.

Spending and revenue limits are determined based on the prior year's Fiscal Year Spending adjusted for allowable increases based upon inflation and local growth. Fiscal Year Spending is generally defined as expenditures plus reserve increases with certain exceptions. Revenue in excess of the Fiscal Year Spending limit must be refunded unless the voters approve retention of such revenue.

TABOR requires local governments to establish Emergency Reserves. These reserves must be at least 3% of Fiscal Year Spending (excluding bonded debt service). Local governments are not allowed to use the emergency reserves to compensate for economic conditions, revenue shortfalls, or salary or benefit increases.

On November 5, 2013, a majority of the District's electors authorized the District to collect and spend or retain in a reserve all annual District revenue without regard to any limitations under TABOR.

The District's management believes it is in compliance with the provisions of TABOR. However, TABOR is complex and subject to interpretation. Many of the provisions, including the interpretation of how to calculate Fiscal Year Spending limits and qualification as an enterprise, will require judicial interpretation.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** 

# SUMMERFIELD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL FUND YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Final Budgeted	
REVENUES			
Property Taxes	\$ 1,355,134	\$ 1,354,280	\$ (854)
Specific Ownership Taxes	67,757	80,204	12,447
Intergovernmental - Summerfield			
Metropolitan District No. 1	10,000	9,281	(719)
Net Investment Income		6,648	6,648
Total Revenues	1,432,891	1,450,413	17,522
EXPENDITURES			
Current:			
Intergovernmental - Summerfield			
Metropolitan District No. 1	25,000	37,500	(12,500)
County Treasurer's Fees	20,327	20,314	13
Audit	2,500	1,811	689
Accounting	-	4,539	(4,539)
Insurance	2,500	2,156	344
Miscellaneous	2,500	3,907	(1,407)
Debt Service:	,	,	( , , ,
Principal	1,374,194	-	1,374,194
Interest	, , -	225,053	(225,053)
Loan Fees	-	41,455	(41,455)
Capital Outlay	75,000	1,066,993	(991,993)
Total Expenditures	1,502,021	1,403,728	98,293
EXCESS REVENUES OVER			
EXPENDITURES	(69,130)	46,685	115,815
Fund Balances - Beginning of Year	177,095	190,840	13,745
FUND BALANCES - END OF YEAR	\$ 107,965	\$ 237,525	\$ 129,560

